



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/674,221	02/13/2002	Leonard J. Fabiano, III	E005.P001U1	3271
25854 BRYAN W. B	7590 07/03/2007 OCKHOP, ESQ.	EXAMINER		
2375 MOSSY BRANCH DR.			RETTA, YEHDEGA	
SNELLVILLE, GA 30078			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	09/674,221	FABIANO, III, LEONARD J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Yehdega Retta	3622				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ARANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. & 133)				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Ma</u>	av 2007					
	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11-23</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/21/02</u> . 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

This office action in response to election filed May 1, 2007. Applicant elected Group I, claims 1-10, without traverse and claims 11-23 are withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 5, 6 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Virgin et al. (US 6,826,542).

Regarding claim 1, Virgin teaches providing a broker platform (Central Invoicing System) receiving from a plurality of presentation entities invoice information; organizing the invoice information into categories; preparing at least one consolidated invoice corresponding to a particular advertiser and forwarding the consolidated invoice to the advertiser (abstract, col. 2 lines 37-43, col. 8 line 63 to col. 9, line 8, col. 12 lines 47-67).

Regarding claim 5, Virgin teaches extracting relevant information from the invoice information from plurality of entities transforming the relevant information into a common document model; storing the transformed information and retrieving information from the database and outputting at least some of the information in the invoice for forwarding to the advertiser (payor) (see col. 12 lines 47-67).

Regarding claim 6, Virgin teaches a first interface for receiving from a plurality of presentation entities invoice information; a processor and memory for organizing the invoice information into a categories, functionally adapted to prepare at least one consolidated invoice corresponding to a particular advertiser (payor); a database in the memory for storing the categorized invoice information; a second interface for forwarding the consolidated invoice to the advertiser (payor) (see abstract, fig. 2 & 4, col. 2 lines 37-43, col. 8 lines 63-67, col. 12 lines 47-67).

Regarding claim 10, Virgin teaches parsing invoice information from plurality of presentation entities; transforming the relevant information into common document model; database for storing the information from the common document model and retrieving information from the database and output at least some of the information in a standard invoice form (see col. 12 lines 47-67).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Virgin et al. (US 6,826,542).

Regarding claim 2-4 and 7-9, Virgin teaches extracting information from the invoice or customizing the invoice according to payor's preference (see col. 8 lines 1-67). Virgin does not explicitly teach that one of the payors is an advertiser. Virgin also teach payer approving or

disputing the invoice (see fig. 9, col. 13 lines 36-63). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to receive commercial aired, time the commercial aired, where the commercial aired and who the advertiser (payor) is, as part of the invoice, if the payor of Virgin is an advertiser. It would have also been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention for Virgin clients (payors or advertiser) to verify the invoice for error, as taught in Virgin.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Ensel et al. (US 6493685 B1) teaches presenting electronic bills to consumer and for processing consumer payments.

Burfield et al. (US 6363362 B1) teaches integrating electronic accounting systems with an electronic payment system.

Kitchen et al. (US 6289322 B1) teaches electronic bill processing.

Schutzer (US 6292789 B1) teaches bill presentment and payment.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yehdega Retta whose telephone number is (571) 272-6723. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached on (571) 272-6724. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/674,221 Page 5

Art Unit: 3622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RETTA YEHDEGA PRIMARY EXAMINER